



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/522,201	03/09/2000	Rabindranath Dutta	AUS990858US1	4117
24033 75	90 09/29/2005		EXAM	INER
KONRAD RA	YNES & VICTOR, LL	NGUYEN, MAIKHANH		
315 S. BEVERLY DRIVE # 210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212			2176	
			DATE MAILED: 09/29/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6						
1		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/522,20		DUTTA, RABINDRANATH		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
	The MAN INC DATE of the	Maikhanh		2176		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statureply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH .136(a). In no eve d will apply and wi tte, cause the appl	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be timil expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19.	July 2005.			•	
•	·	is action is n	on-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except	for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is	
•	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application	n.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		nsideration.			
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· <u></u>	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election re	equirement.			
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 09 March 2000 is/are:	a)⊠ accep	ted or b) objected to	o by the Examiner	r.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is abjected to but the	•				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
·						
Attachmen	t(c)			•		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date	3)	6) Other:	atent Application (PTC	O-132)	
.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/522,201 Page 2

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment filed 07/19/2005 to the original application filed 03/2000.

2. Claims 1-27 are currently pending in this application. Claims 1, 10, and 19 are independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-27 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Duperrouzel et al.** (U.S. 6,832,355 – filed 07/1998).

As to independent claim 1:

a. Duperrouzel teaches (see the Abstract) a method for displaying pages (displays web pages) in a viewer program (a browser software program) on a computer display monitor (a display system), wherein the viewer program displays an application window (display areas), comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/522,201

Art Unit: 2176

(una sing such magas) from one of

Page 3

- (i) downloading a first and second pages (receives web pages) from one of multiple servers (from web sites) over a network (via the network) (col.2, lines 1-17 and col.4, lines 20-28);
- (ii) automatically concurrently displaying (multiple web pages to be simultaneously displayed; col.4, lines 44-57) the first page in a first window pane (display pane 212a; col.5, lines 1-7 and Fig.2) and the second page in a second window pane (display pane 212b; col.5, lines 1-7 and Fig.2) in the application window according to predefined settings specifying how pages are to be displayed in the first and second panes (display panes 212a, 212b ... is a display area that frames and provides controls for a particular web page being displayed within the display pane 212; col.5, lines 1-7);
- (iii) downloading a third page from one of multiple servers over the network (receives web pages via the network from web page sites; col.2, lines 1-17/ web pages that have been download from multiple web page sites; col.4, lines 44-57); and
- (iv) downloading a fourth page from one of multiple servers over the network (receives web pages via the network from web page sites; col.2, lines 1-17/ web pages that have been download from multiple web page sites; col.4, lines 44-57).
- b. Duperrouzel does teach automatically concurrently displaying the third and fourth pages according to the predefined settings (four non-overlapping web pages are

Application/Control Number: 09/522,201

Art Unit: 2176

simultaneously displayed; col.4, lines 58-67). Duperrouzel, however, does not specifically teach "displaying the third page in one of the first and second panes and displaying the third and fourth pages in the first and second panes."

Page 4

- c. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have applied Duperrouzel's teachings to include "displaying the third page in one of the first and second panes and displaying the third and fourth pages in the first and second panes" because it would helped the user to keep a general perspective in mind while examining more detail in additional one or more displayed web pages, and it would also have allowed the user to speed up searches.
- d. The fact that Duperrouzel's teachings "A two web page display 610 has only two display panes 212a and 212b" (col.9, lines 7-14 and Fig.6) and "has only two display panes" in Duperrouzel suggests that when the third and fourth web page are downloaded, they will be simultaneously displayed in the first and second panes.

As to dependent claim 2:

Duperrouzel teaches the first pane is displayed adjacent and to the left of the second pane (Fig. 5), wherein automatically concurrently displaying the third page and one of the first and second pages comprises concurrently displaying the second page in the first pane and the third page in the second pane (col.9, lines 7-14 and Fig. 6).

As to dependent claims 3:

Art Unit: 2176

Duperrouzel teaches caching previously downloaded pages in the order in which they were downloaded from the network (col.9, lines 21-53); and automatically concurrently displaying the previously displayed page in the first pane and the first page in the second pane (col.9, lines 7-14 and Fig.6) according to the predefined settings (col.2, lines 3-17 and col.5, lines 1-7) in response to the user input command to display the previously displayed page (col.11, lines 25-37).

As to dependent claim 4:

Duperrouzel teaches the first pane is displayed adjacent and to the left of the second pane (Fig.5)

As to dependent claim 5:

Refer to discussion of claim 3 above for rejection.

As to dependent claim 6:

Duperrouzel teaches receiving user selection of a hypertext link within one of the displayed pages; accessing the page addressed by the hypertext link (col.6, lines 19-22); automatically concurrently displaying the page currently displayed in the second pane in the first pane and displaying the page addressed by the hypertext link in the second pane if the user selected the hypertext link from the second pane; and automatically concurrently displaying the page currently displayed in the first pane in the first pane and displaying the page addressed by the hypertext link in the second pane if the user selected the hypertext link from the first pane (col.3, lines 30-44; col. 11, lines 9-37).

As to dependent claim 7:

Application/Control Number: 09/522,201 Page 6

Art Unit: 2176

Duperrouzel teaches the first pane is displayed adjacent and to the left of the second pane (Fig. 6).

As to dependent claim 8:

Duperrouzel teaches receiving user selection of a hypertext link within one of the displayed pages in one of the panes; accessing the page addressed by the hypertext link; and automatically concurrently displaying the page addressed by the hypertext link in the pane opposite the pane displaying the page from which the hypertext link was selected the page from which the link was selected in its current pane (col.3, lines 31-44 & col.6, lines 5-22).

As to dependent claim 9:

Duperrouzel teaches the viewer program is capable of displaying the downloaded pages in the first and second panes according to the predefined settings (col.5, lines 1-7 & col.7, lines 13-25 and Fig.5), where the pages downloaded from over the network do not include any page commands to cause the display of pages in separate panes within the application window (col.7, lines 15-25).

As to independent claim 10:

It is directed to a system for implementing the method of claim 1 above, and is similarly rejected under the same rationale.

As to dependent claims 11-18:

They include the same limitations as in claims 2-9 above, are similarly rejected under the same rationale.

As to independent claim 19:

It is directed to an article of manufacture for implementing the method of claim 1 above, and is similarly rejected under the same rationale.

As to dependent claims 20-27:

They include the same limitations as in claims 2-9 above, are similarly rejected under the same rationale.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 07/19/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues:

Duperrouzel patent does not teach the use of predefined setting specifying how pages are to be displayed in the panes. (Remarks, page 11)

In response:

Duperrouzel's teachings "display panes 212a, 212b ... is a display area that frames and provides controls for a particular web page being displayed within the display pane 212" (col.5, lines 1-7) do read-on "predefined setting specifying how pages are to be displayed in the panes" as claimed by Applicant.

As to dependent claims 2-9, 11-18, and 20-27, the arguments are not persuasive for reason as discussed above with regards to independent claims 1, 9, and 19.

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Adams et al.	U.S. Patent No. 5,734,380	issued: May 31, 1998
Kingsley et al.	U.S. Patent No. 5,847,706	issued: Dec. 8, 1998
Brooks et al.	U.S. Patent No. 6, 008,809	issued: Dec. 28, 1999
Greer et al.	U.S. Patent No. 6,009,429	issued: Dec. 28, 1999
Robertson et al.	U.S. Patent No. 6,486,895	issued: Nov. 28, 2002
Ferguson	U.S. Patent No. 6,769,019	issued: Jul. 27, 2004

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maikhanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4093. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00am - 5:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on (571) 272-4136.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/522,201

Art Unit: 2176

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MN

Ulem T. Dork WILLIAM BABHORE DRIMARY EXAMINER

9/27/2005